



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/692,517

10/24/2003

Bernard J. Patsky

UTL 03-032

9868

7590 06/19/2007
JAMES F. BAIRD, ESQUIRE
33 East Main Street
P.O. Box 574
West Brookfield, MA 01585-0574

EXAMINER

BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3711

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/19/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/692,517

Applicant(s)

PATSKY, BERNARD J.

Examiner

Stephen L. Blau

Art Unit

3711

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephen L. Blau.

(3) Mr. James F. Baird (Reg. No. 31,463).

(2) Mr. Bernard J. Patsky.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 May 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 3-5 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ryan, Kobayashi.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed how the head being in the design lie of a head is critical for parallax correction. The examiner discussed why he believed that it would be obvious for Ryan to take that into account. Discussed how method steps are not given weight if the end product is the same in apparatus claims. Discussed that a head of Kobayashi would balance at the sweet spot due to the center of gravity being behind the sweet spot and this is a known teaching in the art. Discussed if it would be obvious to have a center of gravity behind the sweet spot of Ryan. The examiner discussed that if the applicant was able to show that the produced parallax correction line would not be the same as that of Ryan which is shown to be 18-26 degrees how this would be a strong argument of overcoming the prior art used.